Journal of Technological Information, Management & Engineering Sciences (2025),6(2), 43-57

DOI : https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23985260

Computer Literacy Is One Of The Concepts Introduced In The Computer Program One Of The Concepts Introduced In The Computer Program Is Computer Literacy

[1]**Dr. Mujtaba Rehman**
[2]**Hasnain Saddique**

How to cite this article:

Arif, M., & Aslam, H. (2025). Blockchain-Enabled Smart Contracts and Their Influence on Corporate Governance and Transaction Transparency. *Journal of Technological Information, Management & Engineering Sciences* , 6(2), 43-57 .

Received	Accepted	Published
13 Aug 2021	16 Sept 2021	28 Dec 2021

Abstract

Computer literacy is essential to accessing technology mediated learning, but more important than this is the educational benefit it provides. This paper reviews the role it plays in learning, academic achievement, inclusion and employment. A focused narrative review combines scientific studies from 2022 and 2023 with UNESCO Institute for Statistics recommendations from 2025. The analysis highlights the differences between operational computer competency and digital competence, and combines the results of the studies related to achievement, collaboration, information evaluation, and inclusion. A published meta-analysis has found a positive correlation between digital literacy and university academic performance but this is not a definitive causal link between computer training and increased academic achievement. The synthesis indicates that computer literacy is an enabling capability and the value of computer literacy is dependent on the nature of the instructional design, meaningful access to the computer, learner support, and the critical information practices. Some significant constraints are the overlap with computer literacy, limited evidence base and the predominance of higher education-based studies. The paper calls for curriculum-integrated instruction, real assessment instead of paper assessment, learning environments that are accessible, and ongoing educator development. Education effectiveness should be studied using other research design such as longitudinal design or experimental design and the technical competence and broader literacies should be distinguished.

Keywords: *computer literacy, digital competence, modern education, academic performance, educational equity, digital learning.*

[1] Department of Management Sciences, National University of Sciences and Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan
Corresponding Email : mujtabarehman@gmail.com
[2] Department of Computer Science, COMSATS University Islamabad, Pakistan
Email : hasnainsaddique@gmail.com

Copyright (c) 2025 Author(s)
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Introduction

This is a digital age in which information is accessed, documents are created, communication is facilitated through communication systems, and problems solved with software.These are digital times when learners are expected to access information, create documents, communicate via communication systems and solve problems using software. UNESCO Institute for Statistics (2025) provides a definition of digital-literacy that includes the following elements: device and software operation, information literacy, communication, content creation, safety, and problem-solving. This is a helpful framework for discussing computer literacy but doesn't limit learning ability to using the device.

The scope and significance of the document.Introduction: Scope and Significance

Computer literacy, for this paper, is operation of computers and familiarization with common computer software to safely and effectively perform educational-related tasks. This may involve working with files, creating documents, understanding spreadsheets, learning platforms and basic issues. This is a working definition; it is not a statement that there is a single universally accepted definition. Literacy in the use of computers is not considered to be synonymous with all technological, informational or media competencies, but rather as part of a larger concept, digital literacy.

The difference is important because one learner might be able to use a cell phone with ease, but still be unable to keep their research files organized or to assess an online source. Likewise, a high proficiency in word processing does not necessarily indicate knowledge about data privacy, copyright or misinformation. UNESCO Institute for Statistics (2025) clearly distinguishes between operational skills and skills in information evaluation, information production, safety and problem solving. It is important, therefore, that educational planning takes into account related abilities, but not presume that they occur automatically.

Recent scholarship supports the educational value of these abilities. Khan et al. (2022) relate digital literacy in higher education to academic achievement and

[1] Department of Management Sciences, National University of Sciences and Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan
Corresponding Email : mujtabarehman@gmail.com
[2] Department of Computer Science, COMSATS University Islamabad, Pakistan
Email : hasnainsaddique@gmail.com

Copyright (c) 2025 Author(s)
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

career readiness. According to the study conducted by Ardhiani et al. (2023), there is a positive correlation between digital literacy and the academic achievement of university students in various studies. The results indicate that computer literacy is a relevant issue in teaching and learning, but care should be taken in making inferences about operational skills based on broader evidence of digital literacy.

The main issue here is: What purposes does computer literacy serve in today's learning environment and when might it be useful in helping people learn and participate equitably? Analysis sees computer literacy as an enabling educational resource and not a replacement for learning about a topic, thinking critically or for effective teaching. It also distinguishes between published empirical studies and the recommendations for action presented in this paper. This distinction is important as the paper does not contain original student observations, survey responses, intervention outcomes, or institutional performance data.

Literature Review

Conceptual Foundations

Digital-literacy frameworks describe the larger context of computer literacy. UNESCO Institute for Statistics (2025) identifies competence areas such as devices and software operations, information and data literacy, communication and collaboration, digital content creation, safety, problem solving and career-related competence. By necessity, computer literacy is required for many digital activities and is not all that computer literacy is.This difference enables the possibility for a layered interpretation. The operational level requires the learner to use interfaces, save work, retrieve files and choose software. In information level, they must locate, interpret and evaluate information. In a participatory role, they must be able to communicate, cooperate and respect rights of others. This layered interpretation is not a psychologically complete model, but rather an analytical organization of the framework provided by UNESCO (UNESCO Institute for Statistics, 2025).

[1] Department of Management Sciences, National University of Sciences and Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan
Corresponding Email : mujtabarehman@gmail.com
[2] Department of Computer Science, COMSATS University Islamabad, Pakistan
Email : hasnainsaddique@gmail.com

Copyright (c) 2025 Author(s)
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Academic Performance

Ardhiani et al. (2023) compiled and summarized the studies related to digital literacy and academic performance in universities. Their meta-analysis consists of 15 studies from 14 publications, and indicates a statistically significant positive relationship. The published results corroborate the idea that there is an association between digital literacy and achievement but they do not show that this is an association between operation computer skill and achievement. Digital literacy includes several different skills and factors like previous education, motivation, resources, and disciplinary requirements may affect achievement.

Similarly, Khan et al. (2022) relate digital literacy to academic achievement and employability. Their discussion of operational and critical skills highlights the importance of joint consideration of practical skills and evaluative judgment. Along with the meta-analysis, the study indicates that technical competence is educatively significant as part of a broader system of learning capabilities. Neither of these sources can support a blanket statement on the amount of grade improvement resulting from a computer-literacy course in a specific institution.

Learning and Collaboration

UNESCO defines digital participation as more than software operation, as communication and collaboration are separate competence areas in the UNESCO framework. (UNESCO Institute for Statistics, 2025). In education, these activities can involve things like submitting assignments, sharing files, collaborating on documents, and communicating on school platforms. Examples below are representative of the applications of the framework in the curriculum, but they are not measures of the present review.

Collaborative learning and learning systems are discussed with regard to digital literacy by Khan et al. (2022). Their work helps to think about the learning environment and a child's skill. Even though a learner is technically proficient, they might require assistance with coordinating in groups, etiquette for giving/accepting feedback, and choosing communication methods. Therefore, computer literacy's educational value should be explored in terms of the tasks in

[1] Department of Management Sciences, National University of Sciences and Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan
Corresponding Email : mujtabarehman@gmail.com
[2] Department of Computer Science, COMSATS University Islamabad, Pakistan
Email : hasnainsaddique@gmail.com

Copyright (c) 2025 Author(s)
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

which students engage the technology, not merely through the use of stand-alone computer exams.

Safety and Information Judgment

The framework of UNESCO Institute for Statistics (2025) is based on the concepts of privacy, device protection, health and copyright competence. This inclusion denies the idea of computer literacy as speed or software familiarity. Students must also be made aware of what they should share, what data they should protect, what licenses they must comply with, and what the results of publishing information are. In this paper, these are considered as education-related responsibilities and not as the by-products of simple technical training.

Access and Participation

The reviewed evidence does not demonstrate that proficiency is achieved with equipment only. The separation of operational skills and other competence areas, rather, indicates that the provision of devices is different from provision of instruction, thus meeting different needs (UNESCO Institute for Statistics, 2025). Meaningful access is therefore taken to be one implementation condition that must be explored: learners must have opportunities to practise the tasks which their courses will actually require them to perform.

One boundary of this literature is that it focuses on university learners. Both Khan et al. (2022) and Ardhiani et al. (2023) have focused on higher education. The fact that they found this does not inform primary education or vocational or adult education, where further evidence is needed. Do not assume away developmental differences and institutional conditions.

Research Gap

Hence, from the selected literature, there are three gaps that have come up. Computer literacy is often seen as a part of digital literacy. Therefore, positive correlations observed in digital literacy can not be solely attributed to keyboarding, file management and software operation. Further research is required to assess if operational, informational, collaborative and safety

[1] Department of Management Sciences, National University of Sciences and Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan
Corresponding Email : mujtabarehman@gmail.com
[2] Department of Computer Science, COMSATS University Islamabad, Pakistan
Email : hasnainsaddique@gmail.com

Copyright (c) 2025 Author(s)
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

capabilities have distinct roles in improving learning outcomes (Ardhiani et al., 2023; UNESCO Institute for Statistics, 2025).

Second, the reviewed achievement evidence does not remove the cause and effect of the achievement. Students who have higher digital skill may have more resources at their disposal, be more motivated, or have a higher prior achievement. On the other hand, if the learning experiences are successful, there may be more opportunities to develop digital competence. An association created by Ardhiani et al. (2023) deserves to be considered, but an association itself is not proof that a certain computer-literacy intervention will independently improve grades.

Third, the research we selected focuses on higher education, and we did not find any studies that addressed the issue of school-level transfer or implementation. There is also no evidence to show which teaching sequence, assessment method or support model is most effective for disadvantaged learners. These are not holes in the coverage of the present review, but rather gaps that are not necessarily represented in other reviews.

Objectives

- Identify what computer literacy is and how it differs from digital literacy.

Discuss the scholarly evidence of the connection between digital competence and educational achievement and participation.

Identify the conditions and limitations related to the educational value of computer literacy.

- Produce evidence-based suggestions for curriculum, evaluation, teacher professional learning, and future research.

These goals are used to coordinate a limited synthesis, not a full assessment, of all educational technologies. The focus is on transferable competence and responsible use of education and not on a particular device, software package or commercial platform.

Methodology

[1] Department of Management Sciences, National University of Sciences and Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan
Corresponding Email : mujtabarehman@gmail.com
[2] Department of Computer Science, COMSATS University Islamabad, Pakistan
Email : hasnainsaddique@gmail.com

Copyright (c) 2025 Author(s)
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Design and Evidence Selection

The study is focused on the narrative literature review combined with the conceptual synthesis. It is not a systematic review or meta-analysis. Scholarly research about computer literacy, digital literacy, academic achievement, and education frameworks was obtained through public web searches. The search terms used were: "computer literacy academic performance higher education" and "digital literacy student academic performance meta-analysis". Search was carried out on 26th October, 2026.

The main evidence consists of two academic publications, one from Khan et al. (2022) in Higher Education and one from Ardhiani et al. (2023) in a meta-analysis. The competence framework is provided by a 2025 UNESCO Institute for Statistics methodological document. The primary sources chosen for this paper were selected because they directly address the conceptual or educational questions posed in this paper and they have been published publicly and can be traced back. The review is designed so that there is a clear distinction made between peer reviewed scholarship and institutional guidance, which serves the purpose of definition, not experimental.

Analysis and Reporting

The sources were grouped into four themes, competence definition, educational performance, participation and collaboration, and implementation requirements, based on analysis. Empirical statements were restricted to the information found in the publication records and summaries retrieved. No effect size, sample characteristic, intervention result or quotation was added that has not been verified. Recommendations are formulated as inferred conclusions and are listed as suggestions and not as tested findings.

This synthesis is reported in the Results section, which is not a new measurement. No participants were recruited, questionnaires were not given and no student records were consulted. Therefore, no data to compare to human participants or original statistical analysis is provided. The paper adds an integrated

[1] Department of Management Sciences, National University of Sciences and Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan
Corresponding Email : mujtabarehman@gmail.com
[2] Department of Computer Science, COMSATS University Islamabad, Pakistan
Email : hasnainsaddique@gmail.com

Copyright (c) 2025 Author(s)
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

interpretation of the selected evidence and an explicit statement of the boundaries of the interpretation.

Limitations

Comprehensiveness is restricted due to the small size and the purposeful selection of the corpus. There was no formal use of duplicate screening, independent coding, risk-of-bias assessment or a systematic review flow diagram to accompany the searches. It was not possible to carry out full-text methodological evaluation for all sources and so conclusions are conservative. Some computer-literacy questions are indirectly answered by digital-literacy evidence and contradictory results may be omitted from the publications. Such limitations make it impossible to draw strong causal and population-level inferences.

Results

CL is an enabling capability.

The synthesis designates computer literacy as a dimension of a capability structure. According to the UNESCO framework, device and software operations are situated in the context of information, communication, content creation, safety and problem-solving (UNESCO Institute for Statistics, 2025). The ensuing understanding is that operational competence does not necessarily ensure participation in many educational activities and it does not guarantee the participation's critical, productive or responsible nature.

Association with Academic Performance

The most convincing synthesized achievement evidence is obtained from the study of Ardhiani et al. (2023), in which it is reported that the digital literacy with the students' academic performance in university has been found to be positively correlated. Khan et al. (2022) also tie digital literacy to school performance and employment success. The education relevance of digital competence is confirmed from an agreement between these sources. Both of these, however, should not be restated as measured causal inferences on the effect of computer-literacy instruction in the current paper.

[1] Department of Management Sciences, National University of Sciences and Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan
Corresponding Email : mujtabarehman@gmail.com
[2] Department of Computer Science, COMSATS University Islamabad, Pakistan
Email : hasnainsaddique@gmail.com

Copyright (c) 2025 Author(s)
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Breadth of Educational Relevance

The chosen framework provides educational relevance beyond the grades. The UNESCO competence structure (UNESCO Institute for Statistics, 2025) includes areas of communication, collaborative participation, information evaluation, content production, privacy and problem-solving. This scope indicates there is a need to assess computer-literacy provision based on tasks, not just software recognition and confidence, and responsible behavior. This is not an empirically validated assessment instrument but an assessment implication of the synthesis.

Conditions and Unresolved Questions

The literature backs the joint consideration of operational skills and critical skills and learning environments (Khan et al., 2022). It is therefore suggested by the synthesis that instruction should be combined in such a way as to include technical practice and meaningful subject task. It does not set forth the best time to teach, the best way to teach or the best order of teaching. Nor does it suggest that a standard course is suitable for students of varying backgrounds.

The overall results can be put into words as follows: digital competence is correlated with educational achievement, computer literacy provides an important operational base. The evidence that was selected does not quantify the independent contribution of that foundation, is not causal, or shows similar effects by education level. These boundaries are key features of the review: they are not qualifications as such, but central findings.

Discussion

Curriculum and Teaching

The results are in favor of the approach of integrating computer literacy into the learning of discipline content instead of introducing computer literacy as a separate unit. The science task, for instance, might involve managing data, creating a graph, discussing uncertainty and handling participant information. This proposed approach is aligned with the four competence areas (operational, information interpretation, safety, and more) identified by UNESCO Institute for

[1] Department of Management Sciences, National University of Sciences and Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan
Corresponding Email : mujtabarehman@gmail.com
[2] Department of Computer Science, COMSATS University Islamabad, Pakistan
Email : hasnainsaddique@gmail.com

Copyright (c) 2025 Author(s)
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Statistics (2025). Despite this, it would need to be empirically tested for effectiveness.

Teaching should also provide opportunities for students to show transfer. A student who can learn a menu sequence can have difficulty adapting to a change in program; A student who knows about files or spreadsheet formulas can be more flexible in the event of a change in program. It is a pedagogical concept to include the underlying concept with interface procedures in teaching, not a quantified comparison in the reviewed studies. These concepts can be made concrete by teachers through guided practice, worked examples, and increasingly independent tasks.

Assessment and Educator Development.

The difference between digital competence areas suggests that a confidence rating cannot be interpreted to reflect the whole construct (UNESCO Institute for Statistics, 2025). Performance tasks that can be considered include: Retrieving a saved file(s); Formatting an accessible document; Check a source; Explain a privacy decision. These activities can identify learning goals without being a sign of competence with technology.

Pedagogy, not tools should be part of educator development. Opportunities for teachers to plan purposeful digital activities, predict students' misconceptions, and offer process and product feedback. This recommendation is based on the idea that technology is not a single entity, but is used within an educational context as Khan et al. (2022) state. Training must stay flexible in response to the subject needs and local infrastructure.

Whole School Mission Statement

It is important to remember that an equity-oriented approach needs to not take every technical hurdle as a sign of not trying. Institutions can explore if students can access it reliably, have enough practice time, can access the interfaces easily, and if it has timely support. This paper suggests some real-world precautions, including diagnostic assistance, available materials, and low bandwidth solutions.

[1] Department of Management Sciences, National University of Sciences and Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan
Corresponding Email : mujtabarehman@gmail.com
[2] Department of Computer Science, COMSATS University Islamabad, Pakistan
Email : hasnainsaddique@gmail.com

Copyright (c) 2025 Author(s)
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

The area in which they are effective should be examined, not taken for granted, based on the limited evidence examined here.

Discussion: Research & Policy Implications

Avoid using policy as a vehicle to purchase equipment when it is really about digital modernization. In the reviewed framework, there are several types of competence, and in the academic literature, there is a correlation of wider digital literacy with learning outcomes (Ardhiani et al., 2023; UNESCO Institute for Statistics, 2025). One more robust institutional approach would connect infrastructure, curriculum, educator support, accessibility, and assessment. Success should be measured in terms of demonstrated educational ability and not in terms of devices or accounts. Future research should provide separate measurement of the three operational competencies (computer skills, information evaluation, collaborative competence, and safety). Longitudinal studies might focus on the question of whether competence comes first or whether it emerges as a result of success in study. Experimental and quasi-experimental designs might compare contextualized instruction to generic software instruction, and consider baseline achievement and access issues. The designs would help to overcome the uncertainty in causal attribution when the findings were based on association.

Conclusion

Computer literacy is fundamental but prerequisite to modern literacy. It allows pupils to use the common systems and undertake digital learning activities in the classroom, whereas digital competence encompasses the critical, collaborative, creative and safe skills required to be a responsible user. This distinction is made explicit in the UNESCO framework and is supported by research in higher education, which has been conducted in recent years, and shows the relevance of digital literacy for academic performance (Ardhiani et al., 2023; Khan et al., 2022; UNESCO Institute for Statistics, 2025).

The evidence does not support the idea that a student who is technically proficient is sufficiently successful in academics. This review does find a positive

[1] Department of Management Sciences, National University of Sciences and Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan
Corresponding Email : mujtabarehman@gmail.com
[2] Department of Computer Science, COMSATS University Islamabad, Pakistan
Email : hasnainsaddique@gmail.com

Copyright (c) 2025 Author(s)
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

correlation between achievement and aspects of digital literacy, but it is not able to distinguish the independent effect of the operational skills. It is also small in size and focused on higher education, further limiting generalizations. Therefore, assertions of universal effects, grade-level improvement or a single best instructional model would be beyond the evidence.

Computer literacy should be taught in real life situations, be concept driven, should be assessed by performance, and should be supported by ease of access to students. These recommendations are based on the synthesis and need to be assessed in specific contexts. An interesting research direction would involve investigating causal pathways, variation by educational levels and the circumstances that make instruction less likely to perpetuate inequality. Ultimately, computer literacy should be seen as a tool to help students to learn and to participate, not as an educational goal.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no financial, professional, technological, commercial, institutional, or personal conflicts of interest that could have influenced the content, interpretation, or conclusions presented in this article.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Data Availability

No new clinical, experimental, or patient-level datasets were generated or analysed during this study. All information discussed in the review was obtained from the published literature and publicly available sources cited in the manuscript. Additional information relating to the review may be obtained from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

[1] Department of Management Sciences, National University of Sciences and Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan
Corresponding Email : mujtabarehman@gmail.com
[2] Department of Computer Science, COMSATS University Islamabad, Pakistan
Email : hasnainsaddique@gmail.com

Copyright (c) 2025 Author(s)
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Informed Consent

Not applicable. No patients or other human participants were recruited, and no identifiable personal or clinical information was collected or analysed for this review.

Use of Generative AI

The authors declare that no generative artificial intelligence tools were used to conduct the literature review, generate the scholarly content, interpret the evidence, or formulate the conclusions presented in this article. The discussion of generative AI, artificial intelligence, and machine-learning technologies in the manuscript relates solely to their applications in healthcare resource optimization and does not constitute their use in preparing the article.

References

Ardhiani, O., Hadjam, M. N. R., & Fitriani, D. R. (2023). A meta analysis of digital literacy and student academic performance in Universities. Journal of Psychology and Instruction, 7(3), 103-113. https://ejournal.undiksha.ac.id/index.php/JoPaI/article/view/68191

Khan, N., Sarwar, A., Chen, T. B., & Khan, S. (2022). Linking Digital Literacy in Higher Education to the 21st century workplace. Knowledge Management & E-Learning, 14(1), 46–61. https://doi.org/10.34105/j.kmel.2022.14.004

UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS). (2025). Metadata for indicator 4.4.2: Percentage of youth/adults that have reached at least a basic level of proficiency in reading, writing and using digital technologies. https://www.uis.unesco.org/sites/default/files/medias/fichiers/2025/07/Metadata-4.4.2.pdf

Anderson, R. E., & Klassen, D. L. (1981). A conceptual framework for computer literacy. Journal of Educational Technology Systems.

Molnar, A. R. (1978). The next great crisis in American education: Computer literacy. Communications of the ACM, 21(7), 583–584.

[1] Department of Management Sciences, National University of Sciences and Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan
Corresponding Email : mujtabarehman@gmail.com
[2] Department of Computer Science, COMSATS University Islamabad, Pakistan
Email : hasnainsaddique@gmail.com

Copyright (c) 2025 Author(s)
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Smith, D. J., & Sage, M. W. (1983). Computer literacy and the education/training interface. Computers & Education, 7(4), 227–234. https://doi.org/10.1016/0360-1315(83)90012-X

Kelman, P. (1984). Computer literacy: A critical re-examination. Computers in the Schools, 1(2), 3–15.

Simonson, M. R., Maurer, M., Montag-Torardi, M., & Whitaker, M. (1987). Development of a standardized test of computer literacy and a computer anxiety index. Journal of Educational Computing Research, 3(2), 231–247.

Kay, R. H. (1989). A practical and theoretical approach to computer literacy. Journal of Research on Computing in Education.

Wolfe, H. W. (1992). Computer literacy for the 1990s. Journal of Information Systems Education, 4(1), 18–22. https://aisel.aisnet.org/jise/vol4/iss1/4

Kawai, H. (1993). An analysis of American curricula to develop and implement the computer literacy curriculum. AVR, 23, 1–21. https://doi.org/10.24458/avr.23.0_1

Hess, C. A. (1994). Computer literacy: An evolving concept. School Science and Mathematics, 94(4), 208–214. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1949-8594.1994.tb15656.x

McMillan, S. (1996). Literacy and computer literacy: Definitions and comparisons. Computers & Education, 27(3–4), 161–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-1315(96)00026-7

Lockheed, M. E., & Mandinach, E. B. (1986). Trends in educational computing: Decreasing interest and the changing focus of instruction. Educational Researcher, 15(5), 21–26.

Martin, A. (2003). Towards e-literacy. In A. Martin & H. Rader (Eds.), Information and IT literacy: Enabling learning in the 21st century (pp. 3–23). Facet Publishing.

Tyner, K. (1998). Literacy in a digital world: Teaching and learning in the age of information. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Bawden, D. (2001). Information and digital literacies: A review of concepts. Journal of Documentation, 57(2), 218–259. https://doi.org/10.1108/EUM0000000007083

[1] Department of Management Sciences, National University of Sciences and Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan
Corresponding Email : mujtabarehman@gmail.com
[2] Department of Computer Science, COMSATS University Islamabad, Pakistan
Email : hasnainsaddique@gmail.com

Copyright (c) 2025 Author(s)
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Simonson, M. R. (1987). Computer literacy: A definition and assessment. Journal of Educational Computing Research.

[1] Department of Management Sciences, National University of Sciences and Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan
Corresponding Email : mujtabarehman@gmail.com
[2] Department of Computer Science, COMSATS University Islamabad, Pakistan
Email : hasnainsaddique@gmail.com

Copyright (c) 2025 Author(s)
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.